

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>peggy@cpaoffice.com

<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>peggy@cpaoffice.com

<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>peggy@pegasusvs.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair! I spent exactly \$2.00 in long distance charges in 2004 notifying friends of my mother's that she had died and nothing in the previous three years. How is it fair that I would be forced to pay the same flat fee as my co-workers who spend hundreds of dollars each year on long-distance calls to relatives and friends all over the world

and ordering from stores in other states!!

I use my wireless phone for safety and security . I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Peggy Van Steenburg
144 Pine St Ste 210
Kingston, New York 12401